

International Non-profit Accounting Guidance (INPAG) Exposure Draft 2

Response template

Please use this form to record your responses to the Specific Matters for Comment relating to INPAG Exposure Draft 2

Comments are most helpful if they:

- a) Address the question asked;
- b) Contain a clear explanation to support the response provided, whether this is agreeing or otherwise with any proposals made;
- c) Propose alternatives for consideration, where responses are not in agreement with the proposal made;
- d) Specify the INPAG paragraphs to which any comments relate; and
- e) Identify any wording in the proposals that might not be clear because of how they translate.

The text boxes will expand as required. There is no size limit. There are 12 question areas, according to the various sections in INPAG. You do not need to answer all questions and can choose to answer as many or as few as you wish.

You may comment on any aspect of Exposure Draft, not just the specific matters identified. General comments should be added at the end of this document.

Responses must be received by 15 March 2024 and must be in English.

Responses can be submitted to ifr4npo@cipfa.org or through the website at www.ifr4npo.org/have-your-say



Respondent information:

First name:	Bages	Organisation: Petro and Partners for Audit, Assurance and Advisory	[free format]
Last name:	Petro	Response: Are you submitting your response on behalf of my organisation as an individual [choose one] [choose one]	
Email:	b.petro@petroassociation.com	Country: Palestine	[free format]
Position:	Partner and PAG member	 Professional interest: please choose from: NPO, ie preparer of financial statements, auditor, accounting standard setter, professional accounting organisation, 	[free format]

Please indicate whether you wish to receive further information about this	Tick boxes
project and consent to being contacted at the email address provided.	Agree
	Disagree

This document has been designed purely to enable feedback to Exposure Draft 2. Participation is undertaken on an entirely voluntary basis. The responses will be used to shape the development of INPAG and not for any other purpose. We ask for your name and contact information to enable us to contact you if we should have any clarifications regarding your responses. Responses will be public, but personal contact information will not be



disclosed. Personal information will only be held for the purposes of developing INPAG. You may withdraw your consent for us to hold any of your personal information at any time by contacting us at ifr4npo@cipfa.org



Specific Matters for Comment

Question 1: Financial instruments

INPAG Section 11 provides guidance on the treatment of financial assets and financial liabilities. It has two parts, Part I that addresses simpler financial instruments and Part II that addresses more complex financial instruments. There are no significant changes other than alignment with other sections.

		References	Response
a)	Do you agree that there are no significant alignment changes required to Section 11, other than those that have already been made? If not, set out the alignment changes you believe are required.		Yes I agree. However, I think impairment and specially Measurement of expected credit losses could need some clarification.

Question 2: Inventories

INPAG Section 13 provides guidance on the recognition, measurement and disclosure of inventories. Major changes have been made to broaden the scope of this section to include NPO specific inventory and set out their measurement, where inventories held for use or distribution to be measured at the lower of cost adjusted for any loss of service potential and replacement cost. It has been modified to allow the use of permitted exceptions where certain donated items are not recognised in inventories. It has also been amended to allow NPOs to expense services to be provided to service recipients for no or nominal amounts as incurred rather than as work in progress within inventories. Disclosures have been updated to address the use of permitted exceptions and where donated inventories cannot be reliably measured.

or permitted exceptions and where donated in	TVCTTCOTTCS CATTITOC R	renasiy measarea.
	!	
	References	Response
	Kelelelices	Kesponse



a)	Do you agree with the expansion of Section 13 <i>Inventories</i> to specifically include inventory held for use internally, for fundraising or distribution? If not, why not?		Yes I agree .However, I wonder if it would provide a more accurate reflection of the organization's assets . However, changing in costing method such as cost formula, first expired first out (FEFO), I wounder if it could be subject to abuse or misinterpretation.
b)	Do you agree with the permitted exceptions that allow for certain donated inventories and work in-progress that comprises services to be provided for no or nominal consideration to not be recognised as inventory? If not, what would you propose instead/.	(c)	Yes I Agree. We should ne silent about the "exception "criteria since not recognizing certain donated inventories or services provided at no or nominal consideration could potentially obscure the true nature of an organization's activities. Stakeholders may benefit from full disclosure to understand the extent of donations and the value of services provided.
c)	Do you agree that fair value should be used to value donated inventory? If not, what would you propose instead?		Yes I am Pro fair value however, if there is no active market then ,there will be a challenge. while fair value measurement offers advantages in terms of reflecting economic value and comparability, it may not always be practical or appropriate for valuing donated inventory. A more conservative valuation method, coupled with enhanced disclosures, could provide a reasonable compromise that balances the need for transparency with the challenges associated with fair value measurement.
d)	Do you agree that inventories that are held for distribution at no or nominal consideration or for use by the NPO in meeting its objectives shall be measured	G13.8	Yes I agree. However, I wonder about the level of accounting estimates which could effect comparability and consistency. The proposed approach may provide a more accurate reflection of the value of inventories, particularly in cases where



	at the lower of cost adjusted for any loss of service potential, and replacement cost? If not, what would you propose instead?		there are significant fluctuations in replacement costs or where the service potential of inventory items diminishes over time. By adjusting for loss of service potential, the approach recognizes the economic impact of holding inventory.
e)	Do you agree with the proposed disclosure requirements, particularly regarding the use of permitted exceptions and where donated inventories are not recognised because they cannot be reliably measured? If not, what would you propose instead?	G13.26 (e), G13.27	Yes agree , the supported disclosure requirements is good step.

Question 3: Provisions and contingencies

INPAG Section 21 provides guidance on the recognition, measurement and disclosure of provisions (being liabilities of uncertain timing or amount), contingent assets and contingent liabilities. All examples are located in the Implementation Guidance and have been updated to be more relevant to NPOs, including an example relating to onerous grant agreements.

		References	Response
а) Do you agree that an illustrative example on warranties is removed from the Implementation Guidance, and a new example on onerous contracts is added? If not, why not?	Section 21, Illustrative	Yes , the best way to make it clear for final users.



Question 4: Revenue

INPAG Section 23 has been expanded to specifically cover revenue from grants and donations. It comprises two parts with a preface that contains content that is common to both.

Part I is new material that has been written specifically for NPOs that sets out the requirements for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of revenue from grants and donations. The timing of revenue recognition is dependent on the existence of an enforceable grant arrangement (EGA), which must have at least one enforceable grant obligation (EGO). It follows the concepts in the 5 step model for revenue recognition used in international standards. Part I also describes permitted exceptions for the recognition of gifts in-kind and services in-kind.

Part II reflects the *IFRS for SMEs* Accounting Standard material for contracts with customers provides It provides simplified guidance for less complex contracts.

	References	Response
a) Section 23 Part I and Section 24 Part 1	G23.23-G23.30,	Yes, it cover the different wide types pf NPOs.
introduce new terminology relating to	G24.3-G24.4	



	grant arrangements ¹ . Do you agree with the terms enforceable grant arrangement and enforceable grant obligations and their definitions? If not, what alternative terms would you propose to achieve the		
	same meaning? What are the practical or other considerations arising from these		
	definitions, if any?		
b)	Do you agree with the structure of Section	Section 23	Yes the current structure is good.
	23, with Part I focused on grants and		
	donations, Part II focused on contracts		
	with customers and a preface that brings		
	together the key principles and		
	information about how to navigate the		
	guidance? If not, what changes would you		
	make and why?	622.27	Was Laure
c)	Do you agree that revenue is only		Yes I agree.
	deferred where the grant recipient has a	G23.41-G23.59	
	present obligation in relation to the revenue received? If not, in what other		
	circumstances could revenue be deferred		

¹ Both sections include the following question, which you can answer under either section, or cover the grantor and grantee perspectives separately.



	and what is the conceptual basis for this proposal?		
d)	The revenue recognition model for enforceable grant arrangements requires that revenue is allocated where there is more than one enforceable grant obligation. Do you agree with the allocation methods identified? If not, what methods would you propose? What are the practical considerations?	G23.125- G23.138, AG23.52-	I agree on the allocation methods.
e)		G23.36, G23.37	I agree about the permitted exceptions but I am not aware if the term" other assets" include Fixed assets?
f)	Do you agree that services in-kind are not required to be recognised unless they are mission critical? If not, on what basis should services in-kind be recognised and what is the rationale?	G23.38,	I agree and it is important since in term of different economics and cost of livings, if we will recognize some in kind US or EU donated Technical assistance this could equal folds of the local NPO real value in term of poor and third world countries!!



g	Do you agree that donations in-kind (both	G23.31-G23.32,	I agree on about gifts in-kind However, about the service ,the daily rate of some
0	gifts in-kind and services in-kind) should	G23.35-G23.38	technical assistance for certain NPO could equal monthlies of salaries for some
	be measured at fair value? If not, what	d23.33 d23.30	local NPO , therefore this could inflate the FS in term of local currency and
	•		-
-	would you proposed instead?		value.
h	, , ,	G23.49	Yes.
	are generally not separate individually		
	enforceable obligations, but a means to		
	identify or report on resources in an		
	enforceable grant arrangement? If not,		
	provide examples of where		
	administrative tasks are an enforceable		
	obligation.		
i)	Do the proposals for disclosure of grant	G23.61-G23.70	Yes and I propose to add the Legal procurement framework(national or
	revenue provide an appropriate level of		international), the control of decision making selecting the items and the role of
	transparency? If not, what would you		donor and NPG .For example when ALL EU grant impose the "source of origin"
	propose and what is the rationale for your		rule of donated or purchased assets in kind ,adding such para will give
	proposal?		reasonable justification for FS user about excluding local or cheaper markets as
	p. 0 p 0 5 6 11.		example.
i)	Part I is written for simpler grant	G23.42-G23.59,	Yes, it is perfect and most donors have those mini agreement.
1,	arrangements and Part II includes a	G23.73,	res, it is periode and most donors have those min agreement.
	paragraph for simpler contracts with	AG23.37-	
	customers. For more complex grant		
	arrangements, additional guidance is	AG23.62	
	provided about how to apply Part II in the		



	NPO context. Do these proposals successfully remove duplication, help understandability and the ability to implement? If not, what would you change and why?	
k)	Do you have any other comments on the proposals in Section 23, including whether the full content of the IFRS for SMEs section on revenue from contracts with customers in Part II is necessary for NPOs? If so, provide the rationale for the comment and cross reference to the relevant paragraphs.	No, no comment.

Question 5: Expenses on grants and donations

INPAG Section 24 is new and covers accounting for expenses. Part 1 of this Section covers Expenses on grants and donations. Guidance covers the recognition, measurement and disclosure of grants that an NPO makes to other entities or individuals. As with Section 23 Part I, it has a model for recognising expenses on grants and donations that depends on the existence of an EGA.

	References	Response
a) Section 24 Part I and Section 23 Part 1	G24.3-G24.4,	Yes I agree .However, after the war of Gaza locally we stuck about the force de
introduce new terminology relating to	G23.23-G23.30,	majeure and its explanation.



b)	grant arrangements ² . Do you agree with the terms enforceable grant arrangement and enforceable grant obligations and their definitions? If not, what alternative terms would you propose to achieve the same meaning? What are the practical or other considerations arising from these definitions, if any? Do you agree that all expenses on grants and donations can be classified as an enforceable grant arrangement or as an other funding arrangement? If not,	G24.3-G24.6	Yes I agree. in conclusion, while many expenses on grants and donations may be classified as either enforceable grant arrangements or other funding arrangements, there are instances where certain expenses may not fit neatly into these
	provide examples of which expenses on grants or donations would not fit in either of these classes, and why not?		classifications
c)	Enforceable grant arrangements are required to be enforceable through legal or equivalent means. Do you agree that regulatory oversight and customary practices can be sufficient to create an enforceable grant arrangement? If not,	AG24.13-	In many jurisdictions, regulatory oversight frameworks establish legal requirements and standards for grant agreements. Compliance with these regulations can provide a degree of legal recognition and enforceability to grant arrangements. Regulatory oversight ensures that grant agreements adhere to prescribed rules and procedures, enhancing their enforceability

.

² Both sections include the following question, which you can answer under either section, or cover the grantor and grantee perspectives separately.



	why not? What weight should be applied to these mechanisms?		
d)	Do you agree that the full amount of the grant (including where it covers multiple years) should be recognised as an expense if the grant-provider has no realistic means to avoid the expense? If not, under what circumstances should a grant-provider not recognise the full expense and what is the rationale?	AG24.24-	Yes.
e)	Do you agree that grants for capital purposes are expensed by the grantor using the same principles as other grants? If not, why not? What would you propose instead?	AG24.30- AG24.35	Yes.
f)	Do the proposals for disclosure of grant expenses, which include a sensitive information exemption, provide an appropriate level of transparency? If not, what would you propose and what is the rationale for your proposal?	G24.32-G24.41	Yes , donor vetting is an example.
g)	Do you agree that a grant-providing NPO with an OFA can only recognise an asset at the point that a grant recipient has not	G24.11	Yes.



	complied with a constraint on the use of funds provided? If not, what would you propose instead?	
h)	Do you have any other comments on the proposals in Section 24, including that administrative tasks in an enforceable grant arrangement are generally not an enforceable grant obligation but a means to identify or report on resources. If so, provide the rationale for any comments and cross reference to the relevant paragraph.	Yes.



Question 7: Share-based payments

INPAG Section 26 specifies the accounting for share-based payments. As share-based payment transactions are considered highly unlikely for NPOs this section has been removed and a paragraph included to explain why it is not part of INPAG.

	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
		References	Responses
a)	Given the characteristics of NPOs, do you	Not applicable	While traditional share-based payments may not be relevant to NPOs due to
	agree that guidance on share-based		their unique characteristics and mission-driven focus, alternative forms of
	payments is not required? If not, provide		equity-like compensation or incentive arrangements may be used in certain
	examples of share-based payments and		situations. However, the absence of specific guidance on share-based payments
	explain how they are used.		in accounting standards for NPOs is generally justified given their limited
			applicability and the potential for added complexity.

Question 8: Employee benefits

INPAG Section 28 covers all forms of consideration given by an employing NPO to its employees. Changes have been made to this Section to remove references to share-based payments and to profit-sharing arrangements as these are not expected to be part of NPO remunerations structures. Amendments describe how a controlling NPO providing benefits to employees of controlled entities in the group can apply its provisions.

	References	Responses
a) Do you agree that profit sharing and	G28.3, G28.27	Yes.
share-based payments are removed from		
Section 28 Employee benefits to reflect that		



	employees of NPOs are very unlikely to be incentivised by sharing in the surpluses made by an NPO? If not, provide examples of such arrangements used by NPOs.		
b)	Do you agree that in-year changes to the value of post-employment benefits can be shown on either the Statement of Income and Expenses or Statement of Changes in Net Assets? If not, why not?	G28.21	Yes.

Question 9: Income tax

INPAG Section 29 addresses the accounting for income tax including current and deferred tax. Minor editorial amendments have been made to align with other Sections. Amendments include the removal of the exclusion relating to government grants as this is now replaced, and to allow the tax expenses to be shown in the Statement of Income and Expenses or Statement of Changes in Net Assets as appropriate.

		References	Responses
a)	Are there any elements of Section 29	Section 29	Yes there are Recognition of Current and Deferred Tax Liabilities and Assets: However,
	Income taxes that are not required by		VAT has different conceptual frameworks
	NPOs? If so, explain which elements are		
	not needed and why.		

Question 10: Foreign currency translation



INPAG Section 30 describes how to include foreign currency transactions and foreign operations in the financial statements. This Section has been amended to require that the exchange rate gains or losses on monetary items are presented consistently with the transaction to which they relate.

This Section also requires that deficits or surpluses arising as a consequence of changes in exchange rates for grant arrangements that are included as part of funds with restrictions are disclosed. This is to provide transparency of exchange rate exposures relating to grant arrangements.

		References	Response
a)	Do you agree that grants and donations	G30.3 (c), G30.5	Yes, a must.
	should be considered when setting the	(b), G30.5 (d)	
	functional currency? If not, why not?		
b)	Do you agree with the principle that	G30.12, G30.20	Yes but they should be in different line in order to reconcile the differnt
	exchange gains and losses are shown as	(c)	donos/project audit reports with instition one.
	part of funds without restrictions unless		
	they relate to a transaction that is to be		
	shown as restricted? If not, why not?		
c)	Do you agree with the proposal to require	G30.30	Yes.
	exchange gains and losses that contribute		
	to a surplus or deficit on grant		
	arrangements presented as funds with		
	restrictions to be disclosed? If not, why		
	not? What would you propose instead?		
d)	Do you have any other comments on	Section 30	NO.
	Section 30, including whether there are		



any NPO-specific recognition and	
measurement issues associated with	
foreign currency translation? If so, explain	
your comments and the NPO-specific	
recognition and measurement issues.	

Question 11: Hyperinflation

INPAG Section 31 describes the requirements where an NPO is operating in a hyperinflationary economy. Minor editorial changes, including those relating to the structure and names of the financial statements have been made.

		References	Responses
á) Do you agree that there are no significant	Section 31	Yes, not changes needed.
	alignment changes required to Section		
	31, other than the terminology changes		
	that have already been made? If not,		
	describe any further alignment changes		
	required.		

Question 12: Events after the end of the reporting period

INPAG Section 32 sets out the principles for recognising, measuring and disclosing events that happen after the end of the reporting period. Minor amendments have been made to include grant providers as a source of bankruptcy, to remove some references including to profit sharing and dividends. Those with the power to amend the financial statements after they have been issued has also been widened given the nature of NPOs.



		References	Responses
a)	Do you agree that there are no significant	Section 32	Yes.
	changes required to Section 32, other		
	than those that have already been made		
	for alignment purposes? If not, describe		
	any further alignment changes required.		

General Feedback	
Please share any other comments that you	Glad to see this achievement.
wish to raise on Exposure Draft 2.	
When providing additional feedback please	
reference the paragraph numbers, where	
possible and provide a short explanation to	
support your comments.	