

International Non-profit Accounting Guidance (INPAG) Exposure Draft 1 Response template

Exposure Draft 1 can be found here: www.ifr4npo.org/ed1. Please use this form to record your responses to the Specific Matters for Comment.

Comments are most helpful if they:

- a) Address the question asked;
- b) Contain a clear explanation to support the response provided, whether this is agreeing or otherwise with any proposals made;
- c) Propose alternatives for consideration, where responses are not in agreement with the proposal made;
- d) Specify the INPAG paragraphs to which any comments relate; and
- e) Identify any wording in the proposals that might not be clear because of how they translate.

The text boxes will expand as required. There is no size limit. There are 12 question areas. You do not need to answer all questions and can choose to answer as many or as few as you wish.

Responses must be received by 31 March 2023 and must be in English.

Please contact info@ifr4npo.org if you have queries.

Responses can be submitted to ifr4npo@cipfa.org or through the website at www.ifr4npo.org/have-your-say



Respondent information*:

First name:	Georges	Organisation:	Aix – Marseille University
Last name:	Obeid	Response: please choose from:	As an individual
Email:	georges.obeid@iae-aix.com	Country: (this should be the country in which you are based)	France
Position:	Researcher / Instructor	Professional interest: please choose from:	Academic

Please indicate whether you wish to receive	Tick boxes
further information about this project and consent	Agree
to being contacted at the email address provided.	

This document has been designed purely to enable feedback to Exposure Draft 1. Participation is undertaken on an entirely voluntary basis. The responses will be used to shape the development of INPAG and not for any other purpose. We ask for your name and contact information to enable us to



contact you if we should have any clarifications regarding your responses. Responses will be public, but personal contact information will not be disclosed. Personal information will only be held for the purposes of developing INPAG. You may withdraw your consent for us to hold any of your personal information at any time by contacting us at ifr4npo@cipfa.org



Specific Matters for Comment

INPAG has 3 vo	is split into Sections that mirror the structure of the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard. plumes; (i) authoritative guidance (G) and application guidance (AG), (ii) a basis for conclusion the reasons for the approach taken (BC) and (iii) non-authoritative implementation guidance
References	Response
GP22-GP24	In fact the proposed structure of INPAG is helpful as it comprises implementation guidance, definitions and other elements similar to the IFRS for SMEs
	For any donation corresponds a cost that should neutralize the bottom line. Any "surplus" or activity financial result "could be misinterpreted by the NPO stakeholders
	INPAG has 3 vo that explains th (IG). References GP22-GP24

Question 2: Description of NPOs and users of INPAG	apply. This descrip Although an entity INPAG is not intend	but a broad characteristics approach to identifying those entities to whom INPAG might be tive approach is used rather than a single definition, given the diversity of NPOs. might be described as an NPO for the purposes of INPAG based on these characteristics, ding to apply to very small NPOs, where cash-based financial information might be NPOs that meet the definition of public accountability in IFRS-based standards.
	References	Response
a) Do you agree with the description of the broad characteristics of NPOs? Does the term 'providing a benefit to the public' include all entities that might be NPOs? If not, what would you propose and why?		In many countries NPOs play a vital role in the economic development and the social welfare of the community. In some countries, like Lebanon, NPOs play the role of the shadow government, the latter being absent from the social field. I would recommend the term: " Providing welfare to the community"



b)	Does Section 1, together with the Preface, provide clear guidance on which NPOs are intended to benefit from the use of INPAG? If not, what would be more useful?		An important criteria of using NPAG is the size of an NPO in terms of funds collected.
----	---	--	--

Question 3: Concepts and pervasive principles	This Section sets out the concepts and principles that underpin the accounting requirements for NPO transactions and events. It describes a reporting entity for the purposes of INPAG and provides additional guidance about the sometimes complex structures used by NPOs to achieve their objectives. It identifies the primary users of financial statements and reports, their information needs and the characteristics of useful information. It also describes the elements of financial statements and how net assets are derived. It introduces the categorisation of accumulated funds into funds with restrictions and funds without restrictions. This Section is most likely to be read by standard setters, auditors, technical accounting advisors and financial accountants.	
	References	Response
a) Do you agree with the range of primary users and the description of their needs? If not, what would you propose and why?	G2.3-G2.12	Managers who act as stewards should be considered as primary users alongside with the board of trustees.
b) Do you agree with the qualitative characteristics of useful information? If not, what would you change and why?	G2.13-G2.32, AG2.1-AG2.3	Yes
c) Do you agree with the components of net assets? If not, why not?	G2.73, Diagram 2.2	Net assets in NPOs are the difference between the assets and the liabilities so they are the funds provided to NPOs
d) Do you agree with the inclusion of equity as an element? If not, what would you propose and why? What type of equity	G2.141, AG2.6- AG2.9	Yes, but I would call it Non-profit equity



	might an NPO have?		
e)	Do you agree with the categorisation of funds between those with restrictions and those without restrictions in presenting accumulated surpluses and deficits? If not, what would you propose and why?	G2.74-G2.75, AG2.4-AG2.5	Yes, It is useful for financial information's users, the funds however may overstate / inflate the financial position
f)	Do you agree that funds set aside from accumulated surpluses for the holders of equity claims can be part of funds with restrictions and funds without restrictions and that they should be transferred to equity prior to distribution? If not, what would you propose and why?	G2.142, AG2.8- AG2.9	Yes, such funds should be transferred to equity prior to distribution
g)	Do you agree that 'service potential' should be introduced into Section 2? If not, why not?	G2.51, G2.54, G2.58, G2.67- G2.68, G2.103, G2.108- G2.110, G2.115- G2.117, G2.122	Yes, As the name indicates service potential has an important role to fulfil the NPO objectives
h)	Do you agree that the provisions for 'undue cost and effort' used in the <i>IFRS</i> for <i>SME</i> s Accounting Standard should be retained? If not, why not?	G2.33-G2.36	Yes, it should be retained because when costs exceed benefits, non-disclosure becomes an option but it must be reported though.
i)	Is the NPO as a reporting entity clear? Does the process for identifying branches in the Application Guidance support the principles? If not, what would be more useful?	G2.43-G2.49, AG2.10- AG2.24.	It is clear but the word "branches" needs further explanation.



Question 4: Principles to enable comparability of financial statements

This Section provides the principles behind the development of financial statements, including consideration of whether an entity is a going concern. It looks at the ability to compare financial statements and sets out the principles of comparability and consistency. Comparatives are identified as being necessary for financial statements and narrative reports. This Section also looks at the ability to express compliance with INPAG. It also considers NPO-specific terminology.



		References	Response
a)	Do you agree with the proposed changes	Sections 3-10	Non - financial reporting is crucial for NPO stakeholders in particular donors of
	to terminology from the IFRS for SMEs		funds. I don't find the benefit of replacing "entity" with "NPO"
	Accounting Standard? If not, what would		
	you propose and why?		
b)	Do you agree that comparatives should be	G3.14, G3.19,	I agree as long as the compared items are reported together for the ease of
	shown on the face of the primary	AG3.9-AG3.11,	readings.
	statements? In particular, do you agree	BC5.11	
	with the proposed comparatives for the		
	Statement of Income and Expenses? If not,		
	what do you propose and why?		
c)	Do the proposals for expressing		I am not sure as I don't have enough information
	compliance with INPAG create unintended	AG3.3-AG3.5	
	consequences? If so, what are your key		
	concerns?		

Question 5: Scope and presentation of the Statement of Financial Position		The Statement of Financial Position has proposals that the aggregate of the fund balances for funds with restrictions and funds without restriction and has associated disclosures. This statement mirrors those used in other international standards, including how assets and liabilities are classified, but has NPO-specific terminology.	
		References	Response
a)	Do you agree that all asset and liability balances should be split between current and non-current amounts (except where a liquidity-based presentation has been adopted)? If not, why not?		Yes, I agree. There are no benefits or obligations to be treated differently.
o)	Do you agree with the proposal that not all categories of asset and liability balances should be split between those with and		Yes. I find no justification to split all assets and liabilities between funds with or without restrictions



those without restrictions? If not, which	
categories of asset and/or liability should	
be split?	
be split:	

Question 6: Scope and presentation of the Statement of Income and Expenses		This Section is retitled from the equivalent Section in the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard to be more relevant for NPOs. References to 'profit and loss' are replaced with 'surplus and deficit'. A key element of the presentation of this statement is that revenue and related expenses are split between those that have been received with restrictions and those that haven't. Some income and expenses are proposed to be part of the Statement of Changes in Net Assets (see question 7).		
		References	Response	
a)	Do you agree with the name of the primary statement being 'Statement of Income and Expenses'? If not, why not?	BC5.1-BC5.5	Yes, I agree	
b)	Do you agree that the terms surplus and deficit should be used instead of profit or loss? If not, why not?	G5.5, BC5.6	I propose the term "activity result"	
c)	Do you agree that amounts on each line of revenue and expenses should be split between those with and those without restrictions on the face of the primary statement? If not, what alternative approach would you propose and why?	AG5.6, BC5.9-	Yes of course.	
d)	Do you agree that NPOs should be able to choose whether to present either income items or expense items first to get to a surplus or deficit? If not, what alternative approach would you propose and why?		Yes.	



	uestion 7: Scope and presentation of the attement of Changes in Net Assets	This statement is derived from the Statement of Changes in Net Equity included in the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard. It includes a number of transactions that under the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard would be part of Other Comprehensive income.	
		References	Responses
a)	Do you agree with the proposal that there is no Other Comprehensive Income (OCI), and that an expanded Statement of Changes in Net Assets would allow an equivalent to the OCI being produced. If not, why not?	BC5.16, BC6.1- BC6.5	Yes, as long as the important information is disclosed
b)	Do you agree that funds are split between those with and those without restrictions on the face of the primary statement? If not, what alternative approach would you propose and why?		Yes, the funds, with or without restrictions, are essential elements for reporting

Question 8: Scope and presentation of the Statement of Cash Flows		This Section includes disclosures to highlight NPO specific transactions, such as revenue to fund the purchase of property, plant and equipment. There are no changes to the fundamentals of the cash flow from the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard, with both the direct and indirect methods of producing a Statement of cash flows permitted.	
		References	Responses
a)	Do you agree with the separate presentation of cash donations and grants on the face of the statement? If not, what alternative approach would you propose and why?	G7.4 a)	Yes, given the importance of cash donations.
b)	Do you agree that donations or grants received for the purchase or creation of property, plant and equipment should be	G7.5 b)	Yes. They are neither operating nor financing activities



	treated as investing activities? If not, what alternative would you propose and why?	
c)	Do you agree that both the direct method and indirect methods for the cash flow statement should be permitted? If not, why not?	Yes. I find no reason to use only one method; however one can favor a method given its contribution to the perception of the core activity of a NPO.

Question 9: Principles underpinning the notes to the financial statements	This Section sets out the general requirements for disclosures and the notes to the primary financial statements. There are no known NPO specific issues for this Section and modifications made to align with other Sections.	
	References	Responses
a) Do you agree that there are no NPO		Yes I agree
specific considerations for this Section? If		
not, what changes would you propose and		
why?		

Question 10: Approach to consolidated and separate financial statements	This Section sets out the principles to identify control and provides additional guidance about how control applies to NPOs. It also includes a simplification for control in a number of defined circumstances (a rebuttable presumption). It provides guidance on less common situations when consolidation might not be appropriate. The Section uses NPO-specific terminology.	
	References	Response
a) Is the Application Guidance to apply the control principles sufficient? If not, what changes or additions would you propose and why?	AG9.1-AG9.14	I would shed the light on the difference between a shareholder and a donor of fund as well as their respective control principles
b) Do you agree that a rebuttable presumption relating to control should be retained? Is the current drafting sufficient?	G9.17	"Rebuttable presumption" could be replaced or explained further



	If not, what would you propose and why?		
c)	Is the Application Guidance sufficient to apply the fundamental characteristics of		Yes
	faithful representation and relevance to	AG9.17-AG9.19	
	consolidation? If not, what additions would you propose and why?		
d)	Do you agree with the use of the terms	G9.7, G9.24	Yes
	'controlling NPO', 'controlled entity' and		
	'beneficial interest' instead of 'parent', 'subsidiary' and 'investment'? If not, what		
	would you propose and why?		

	This Section sets out the requirements for disclosure and approach to accounting policies, estimates and errors. There are no known NPO specific issues for this Section with modifications made to align with other Sections.	
	References	Responses
a) Do you agree with the updates to Section 10 and that there are no additional NPO specific considerations that need to be addressed in this Section? If not, what changes or additions would you propose and why?		Yes

Question 12: Scope and content of narrative reporting	This is a new Section that has been written specifically for NPOs. It sets out the principles for narrative reporting, including the qualitative characteristics of the information to be included in the reports. It mandates the requirement for financial analysis and performance information to be included in general purpose financial reports. It leaves as optional any additional information that an NPO may wish to report on, such as sustainability reporting. It includes an exception, where information might be prejudicial to the
	operation of the NPO and the safety of its staff and volunteers.



		References	Responses
a)	Do you agree with the principles proposed to underpin narrative reporting? If not, what would you propose to change and why?	G35.3-G35.7	Yes, it is very clear and useful for external and internal users
b)	Do you agree with the scope of the minimum mandatory requirement, with additional information, such as sustainability reporting to be optional? If not, what changes should be made and why?	G35.8-G35.19, G35.30, AG35.2- AG35.13	The link between accountability and sustainability is well described by (Dixon, Ritchie and Siwale, 2006). Governance is the key for the sustainability and legitimacy of a NPO. I totally agree with the scope of the minimum requirement given the importance of this issue for stakeholders.
c)	Do you agree with the proposals that sensitive information can be excluded from narrative reports? If not, what alternative would you propose and why?	G35.7	I disagree with this proposal. The right of users is to have access to the needed information even if it is sensitive as long as it helps to take the right decision. One should know what type of information is considered sensitive
d)	Should a two-year transition period for narrative reporting be permitted to assist in overcoming any implementation challenges? If not, what alternative would you propose and why?		Two year is a long transition period